Application No. 10/646,354

Paper Dated: March 21, 2006

Reply to Office Action of November 22, 2005

Attorney Docket No. 115-031628

IN THE CLAIMS

Listing of Claims:

The following listing of claims will replace all prior versions, and listings, of claims in the application:

1. (Currently Amended) A process for cutting sections from a probe for microscopic analysis, by using an ultramicrotome device having a blade with a cutting edge, the cutting edge in a non-vibrated position extending at least approximately in a first direction, the process comprising the steps of:

vibrating the blade in the first direction with an amplitude below about 1 μm ; and

between successive cuts, effecting relative movement between the probe
moving and the blade relative to the probe to be cut in a second direction in a stepwise
manner, the second direction being perpendicular to the first direction; and

during each cut, effecting relative movement between the probe and the blade in a third direction perpendicular to the first and second directions, whereby the probe is cut in sections having a thickness of about 10 nm to about 100 nm.

2.-3. (Cancelled)

- 4. (Original) The process according to claim 1, wherein force is applied to a block of the ultramicrotome device, the block holding the blade.
- 5. (Withdrawn) The process according to claim 1, wherein the probe is vibrated in a third direction perpendicular to the first and the second direction.

4 of 20

{W0257573.1}

Application No. 10/646,354

Paper Dated: March 21, 2006

Reply to Office Action of November 22, 2005

Attorney Docket No. 115-031628

6. (Withdrawn) The process according to claim 5, wherein the blade is

vibrated in a first frequency and the probe is vibrated in a second frequency, the second

frequency being twice the first frequency.

7. (Withdrawn) The process according to claim 1, wherein the probe is

vibrated in a third direction at least approximately parallel to the first direction.

8. (Withdrawn) The process according to claim 7, wherein the probe

and the blade are vibrated, such that when the blade reaches its reversal points, the probe is

still moving and vice versa.

9. (Withdrawn) The process according to claim 8, wherein the probe

and the blade are vibrated at the same frequency, but in a different phase.

10. (Withdrawn) The process according to claim 7, wherein the blade is

moved relative to the probe in the second direction with a substantially constant cutting speed

over a distance larger than a cross-sectional dimension of the probe in the third direction.

11. (Withdrawn) The process according to claim 1, wherein in the third

direction, an amplitude bo of vibration is used with

 $b_o \ge v_c/2\omega$,

5 of 20

{W0257573.1}

Application No. 10/646,354 Paper Dated: March 21, 2006

Reply to Office Action of November 22, 2005

Attorney Docket No. 115-031628

wherein ω is the frequency in radians of the first vibrator and v_c is the cutting speed in the third direction.

12. (Withdrawn) The process according to claim 1, wherein in the first direction, an amplitude a_0 of vibration is used with

$$a_0 \ge 10 \text{ v}_c/\omega$$
,

wherein ω is the frequency in radians of the first vibrator and v_c is the cutting speed in the third direction.

- 13. (Original) The process according to claim 1, wherein a diamond blade is used.
- 14. (Currently Amended) The process according to claim 1, wherein, during each cut, the relative movement between the probe and the blade is moved relative to the probe in the second direction with at a substantially constant cutting speed.
- 15. (NEW) The process according to claim 1, wherein the blade is vibrated in the first direction with a frequency above about 15 KHz.